IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8092 OF 2006

Santosh Dagu Bhosale] .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.] .. Respondents

Mr. M.S. Karnik for the petitioner.

Mrs. M.P. Thakur, AGP, for the respondent No.1.

Ms. Deepa Chavan i/b M/s. Little & Co. for the respondent No.2.

CORAM: S.B. MHASE, &
R.S. MOHITE, JJ.

DATED: 13TH APRIL, 2007

P.C. :

. Heard.

2. The petitioner is a partially deaf person of an open category. He has completed the ITI course in the trade of "Fitter". The advertisement issued by the respondent shows that the post has been reserved for partially deaf person, but, however, the post is of "Meter Reader" and, therefore, the person applying for the said post should have passed ITI (Electrical). The petitioner is not qualified for the said post and,

therefore, the respondents have not considered him. However, the petitioner feels that even though he is a "Fitter" he should be considered for the said post of "Meter Reader".

3. We do not find any substance in the Petition. The Petition is hereby rejected.

Sd/-
[R.S. MOHITE, J.]

Sd/-
[S.B. MHASE, J.]